| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| | an/ | 09/727,901 | RECCHIA, MICHAEL J. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Robert Madsen | 1761 |
| All Participants: | | Status of Application: <u>n/a</u> | |
| (1) Robert Madsen. | | (3) | |
| (2) Garrettson Ellis. | | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2005</u> | | Time: <u>n/a</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | | · |
| Claims discussed: n/a | | | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI It was confirmed that a timely response was not filed. | E GENEI | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The eparate r | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| BH M | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Applicant | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |